



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,096	06/20/2005	Jens Ole Sorensen	155-2	6421
22653	7590	05/12/2010	EXAMINER	
EDWARD W CALLAN			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
NO. 705 PMB 452				
3830 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			3781	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[ecallan1@san.rr.com](mailto:ecallan1@san.rr.com)

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/540,096	SORENSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	/Stephen J. Castellano/	3781	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2010.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,5-7,9-12,14,15,20-22,25,26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22 and 28-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1, 5-7, 9-12, 14, 15, 25 and 26 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

Claims 2-4, 8, 13, 16-19, 23-24, 27 and 31-32 have been canceled. Claims 1, 5-7, 9-12, 14-15, 20-22, 25-26 and 28 are pending.

Claims 20-22 and 28-30 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected specie, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on January 26, 2009.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 5-7, 9-12, 14, 15, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 has added the language “both in the direction of said extension of the web and in a direction other than the direction of said extension of the web.” There is no support for this language in the originally filed application. This passage describes the thickness of the ribs as having two directions when the thickness would extend in one direction. Claim 7 has added similar language to describe the rib thicknesses of the first and second wall components.

**This is a new matter rejection.**

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 5-7, 9-12, 14, 15, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 has added the language “both in the direction of said extension of the web and in a direction other than the direction of said extension of the web.” This passage describes the thickness of the ribs as having two directions when the thickness would extend in one direction. It is not understood what the meaning of this as the direction other than the direction of said extension of the web could be either a length or width of the rib. The length and width of the rib would not be the thickness of the rib. Claim 1 is indefinite.

Claim 7 has added similar language to describe the rib thicknesses of the first and second wall components. Claim 7 is indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5-7, 9-12, 14-15 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Schafer (6467646).

Schafer discloses a coherent product including a wall section, the wall section is shown to be a bottom or base wall in Fig. 4, the wall sections comprises two components, a first plastic component with horizontal wall having surface 2, the horizontal wall forms a web and vertical

ribs 5, the second plastic component (cover plate 20 forming a web with ribs or detents 23). The ribs 5 are wider in their vertical extending width than the thickness of the web (horizontal wall having surface 2).

Re the thickness of the ribs, Schafer could be applied such that the first and second components are switched, the first component (cover plate 20) has ribs that are thicker at the protrusion that forms the detent than the web of the first component.

Re claims 5 and 25, Schafer may be turned on its side to form an open front container with the bottom 3 extending vertically rather than horizontally so that the former bottom 3 is positioned as a side wall.

Re claim 7, the ribs (detents 23) of the second component (cover plate 20) are wider (because the width of the ribs (detents 23) extends in either of two directions as shown in Fig. 4, if the length of the ribs (detent 23) is vertical then the width extends horizontally and into the page as shown in Fig. 4, or if the length of the ribs (detents 23) is horizontal then the width extends vertically. Either way width of the ribs (detents 23) is greater than the web thickness of the second component.

Re injection molding, the Abstract at line 3 recites the “injection molding” process. However, injection molding is a product-by-process limitation within a product claim and is only given weight insofar as it structurally differentiates the product. Injection molding doesn’t differentiate the product.

Re claim 14, (see Fig. 4) one rib (rib 5 of first component with opening 25) of said at least one pair of spaced apart ribs of said one wall component (first component) contacts the web of the other said wall component (cover plate 20, second component), and the other rib (rib 5 of

first component with opening 26) of said at least one pair of spaced apart ribs of said one wall component (first component) doesn't contacts the web of the other said wall component. There is a notch defined in the space between the pair of spaced apart ribs, the notch is adjacent to rib 5 of first component with opening 25, the rib (detent 23) of the other wall component is disposed in the notch.

Re claim 15, rib (detent 23) of the other wall component does not fully occupy the notch.

Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 12, 15 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burling (4456142).

Burling discloses a coherent product including a wall section, the wall section is shown to be a side wall, the wall sections comprises two components as shown in Fig. 1-6 and has a double wall construction, Figure 3 shows a horizontal cross section looking downwardly at the corner joint, it shows a double wall structure, ribs 16 and 17 are used to space an inner wall (first plastic wall component - inner wall 12) and an outer wall (second plastic wall component - outer wall 13) a uniform distance and to secure the walls to each other. The cross section of the inner and outer walls and ribs is shown in Fig. 3, the ribs 17 which extend outwardly from the inner wall (first wall component) 12 are wider (their width extends outwardly from the outer surface of the inner wall 12) and thicker (as the enlarged protrusions 32 provide a maximum thickness) than the thickness of the web (the web is a portion of inner wall 12 extending between two ribs).

Figure 2 discloses a better view of the rib 17 on the inner wall 12 in the exploded double wall section shown on the right side of Fig. 2. The rib 17 extends longitudinally in a vertical direction, the width of rib 17 extends outwardly and the thickness varies from a thick portion near its connection with the inner wall and progressively becomes thinner at points spaced

further from the inner wall until it reaches a maximum thickness at enlarged protrusion 32 at the distal edge of the rib. The thickness of the enlarged protrusion portion of the rib is clearly greater than the web thickness.

Re claims 6 and 26, the cubic shape of the container allows the container to be placed in an orientation wherein the container rests upon a sidewall such that the former sidewall is considered a base wall including the wall section with the double wall construction as referred to previously.

Re claim 7, the ribs 16 which extend inwardly from the outer wall (second wall component) 13 are wider (their width extends inwardly from the inner surface of the outer wall 13) than the thickness of the web (the web is a portion of outer wall 13 extending between two ribs).

Claims 1, 5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwards (3443715).

Edwards discloses a coherent product including a wall section (sidewall) as shown in Fig. 5, the wall section comprises: a first plastic component (outer cup member 14a) that includes two or more ribs (inwardly projecting flutes 34a) and a web (the rib 36a between two adjacent flutes 34a) therebetween; and a second plastic component (inner cup member 12a), the ribs of the first component are thicker (as the thickness is measured in a circumferential direction) than the thickness (as this thickness is measured in a radial direction) of the web of the first component.

The wall thicknesses of both the first component (outer wall of the double wall) and the second component (inner wall of the double wall) are the same throughout the wall. The rib thickness at the center portion of the rib is equivalent to the wall thickness at a center portion of

the rib wherein the wall and the rib extend only circumferentially. However, the rib also has a radial extending component at both ends of each rib. The radial direction is the distance of the thickness. Therefore, the rib can be said to have a thickness equal to at least twice the wall thickness because the rib thickness at the ends of the rib is at least twice the wall thickness. The wall thickness is equal to the web thickness.

Re claim 7, the second component has ribs 40a with a web 38a therebetween, the ribs of the first and second components contact each other.

Applicant's arguments filed April 2, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re Schafer, applicant suggests that the detent barbs 23 are not portions of the ribs. There is no basis for applicant's conclusion. The barbs 23 clearly are a portion of the ribs as they are integrally molded with the remainder of the rib or integrally attached thereto rather than being merely supported by the ribs.

Re Burling, applicant suggests that the triangular-shaped protrusions 32 are not portions of the ribs. There is no basis for applicant's conclusion. The protrusions 32 clearly are a portion of the ribs as they are integrally molded with the remainder of the rib or integrally attached thereto rather than being merely supported by the ribs.

Re Edwards, applicant states that ribs of Edwards do not extend in any direction other than the direction of the extension of the web between ribs (the circumferential direction in Edwards). In any direction the rib is longer than the web; thickness direction (radial direction) at least twice web thickness as shown in Fig. 5; width direction (circumferential direction)

approximately 5-6 times the web thickness as shown in Fig. 5; and height direction (longitudinal direction) many times greater than the width as shown in Fig. 1.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Stephen J. Castellano/ whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony D. Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen J. Castellano/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3781

sjc